

Childhood and Adolescence
Psychopathology:
unravelling the complex etiology
by a large Interdisciplinary
Collaboration in Europe

## **Annual meeting 1**

Work Package: 6, Deliverable 6.2

**Lead partner:** VU

Author(s): Christel Middeldorp, Meike Bartels, Natascha Stroo

Submission date: Febr. 22 2018

**Version number:** 0.1 **Status:** Draft

Grant Agreement N°: 721567

Project Acronym: CAPICE

**Project Title:** Childhood and Adolescence Psychopathology: unravelling the

complex etiology by a large Interdisciplinary Collaboration in

Europe

Call identifier: H2020-MSCA-ITN-2016

Funding scheme: MSCA-ITN-ETN - European Training Networks

**Topic:** MSCA-ITN-2016 - Innovative Training Networks

**Start date of the project:** February 1st, 2017

**Duration:** 48 months

Dissemination Level	
PU: Public	✓
PP: Restricted to other programme participants (including the Commission)	
RE: Restricted to a group specified by the consortium (including the Commission)	
CO: Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission)	



Project funded by the European Commission under the Horizon 2020 Programme



#### **Disclaimer:**

This document has been produced in the context of the CAPICE Project. The CAPICE project is part of the European Community's Horizon 2020 Program (Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions - Innovative Training Networks) for research and development and is as such funded by the European Commission. All information in this document is provided "as is" and no guarantee or warranty is given that the information is fit for any particular purpose. The user thereof uses the information at its sole risk and liability. For the avoidance of all doubts, the European Commission has no liability with respect to this document, which is merely representing the authors' view.



# **Table of Contents**

Introduction	4
Attendance	5
The program	6
Annex 1 (list of attendance) 8	}
Annex 2 (program) 1	10



#### 1. Introduction

The 1<sup>st</sup> annual meeting of the CAPICE project was organised in the CASA 400 hotel in Amsterdam on January 25-26, 2018.

As most of the ESR's have just just started and because they were in London for their first workshop the days before the annual meeting (see deliverable 7.3), they didn't attend this first annual meeting. Their supervisors introduced them and their projects on the first day of the meeting.

Meeting minutes have been made and sent to all participants.





#### 2. Attendance

Janssen-CILAG

The following PI's and supervisors attended the meeting:

Vrije Universiteit	Christel Middeldorp (coordinator), Meike Bartels (coordinator), Natascha Stroo (project manager), Anke Hammerschlag (supervisor)
King's College London	Kaili Rimfield (supervisor)
University of Gothenburg	Sebastian Lundstrum (PI)
University of Bristol	Marcus Munafo (PI)
Karolinska Institutet	Ralf Kuja-Halkula (supervisor), Sarah Bergen (supervisor)
University of Twente	Stephanie van den Berg (PI)
University of Cagliari	Vassilios Fanos (PI)
Imperial College London	Marjo-Riitta Jarvelin (PI)

From the four involved partner organizations three were represented: GAMIAN-Europe, Genalice and the Norwegian Institute of Public Health. The members of the scientific advisory board also attended both days of the meeting. The signed list of attendance is attached as annex 1.

David Myers (supervisor), Amy Leval (supervisor), Qingqin LI (supervisor)






### 3. The program

After the coordinator welcome's talk prof. Vassilios Fanos from the University of Cagliari gave a nice presentation about the dissemination activities for the project, e.g. website (see deliverable 6.1) and social media activities.

Thereafter Christine Marking introduced GAMIAN-Europe, a patient organization and as partner organization involved in the project. It was decided that the website should also have information aimed at the lay audience, either patients, their families or policy makers.

The rest of the morning the ESR students and projects were introduced by each PI or supervisor. Possibilities for further collaborations were discussed.





After the lunch break the ESR training & secondment schedules were discussed.

Parallel to the discussion about the secondments the members of the scientific advisory board had a private meeting to review the project objects and aims.

The second day of the meeting started with a discussion about the upcoming deliverables, like the polygenetic risk scores, data harmonisation and data facility to facilitate analyses across sites. The consortium appeared to be well on track to reach the deliverables.

After the break the advisory board presented their feedback about the project, which was a very positive feedback. They also provided some recommendations which will be implemented during the next day.

Finally we closed a very successful meeting with scheduling the next meetings.